

Patrick H. Merrick, Esq.
Director – Regulatory Affairs
AT&T Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street NW Washington DC 20036 202 457 3815 FAX 202 457 3110

April 24, 2003

Via Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation: In the Matter of Petitions of US LEC Corp. and

T-Mobil USA, Inc., et al, for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Intercarrier

Compensation for Wireless Traffic, CC Docket No. 01-92

Dear Ms. Dortch;

On Wednesday, April 23, 2003, Robert Quinn Jr. and I met with William Maher, Tamara Preiss, Steven Morris, Victoria Schlesinger and Jane Jackson to discuss the above mentioned petitions. AT&T reiterated that the FCC should deny the US LEC petition because what US LEC is truly asking is the ability to impose additional access charges on interexchange carriers, when in fact, the CLEC provides no new service or functionality. AT&T stated that where a CLEC inserts itself between the CMRS provider and the ILEC tandem, it is providing no genuine access function and should not be permitted to charge the interexchange carrier access. When a CLEC replaces the ILEC in providing the tandem switching or other access functions, the CLEC should only be permitted to charge the ILEC rate for the access functions that are actually being performed by the CLEC, not the full CLEC benchmark rate.

The positions expressed by AT&T were consistent with those expressed in AT&T's filed petition.

Consistent with the Commission rules, I am filing one electronic copy of this notice and request that you place it in the record of the proceedings.

Sincerely,

Atry H. M.:

CC: William Maher

Tamara Preiss Steven Morris

Victoria Schelesinger

Jane Jackson